

To: Jon Kurland [Jon.Kurland@noaa.gov]
Cc: CN=Phil North/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Michael Szerlog/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Michael Szerlog/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Richard Parkin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Sat 2/26/2011 12:46:24 AM
Subject: Re: Pebble

Hi John, I hope to send NMFS and other agencies a letter next week that outlines our plans and hopes for your participation. What we are thinking is review of two documents (an annotated outline of our report and then our preliminary draft report) and attendance at two government agency meetings to discuss those reports. The meetings would hopefully be in April and June (but that could slip). I am not sure of the length of the meetings but at least most of 1 day. Then there will be 2 sets of public meetings and then tribal consultations. NMFS presence at the public meetings would be helpful but I think the technical meetings would be our priority for your limited time. Feel free to call me any time (206)553-8574 and I will try to get the letters out next week.

Rick Parkin
U.S. EPA, Region 10
(206) 553-8574

From: Jon Kurland <Jon.Kurland@noaa.gov>
To: Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/25/2011 03:22 PM
Subject: Pebble

Hi Rick. I'm wondering whether you have any more information about the Bristol Bay watershed study since we spoke a couple weeks ago. Specifically, do you have any more thoughts on what type of assistance EPA might like NMFS to provide? You mentioned public meetings and tribal consultation happening over the next 9 months, followed by peer review. When would NMFS input be most valuable? Just trying to give some thought to workload planning...